

1 2 3 4 5

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

17 Before this Court is the Findings and Recommendation of the United States  
18 Magistrate Judge (#3), entered by the Honorable George W. Foley regarding Plaintiff Steven  
19 Javier Bank's Application for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and Complaint (#1, filed Dec.  
20, 2010), and Amendment to Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#2, filed Jan. 10,  
21 2011). No objection was filed to Magistrate Judge Foley's Findings and Recommendation in  
22 accordance with Local Rule LR IB 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court  
23 for the District of Nevada. This matter was referred to the undersigned for consideration.

24 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance  
25 with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B)–(C) and Local Rule IB 3-2 and determines that the Findings and  
26 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley should be accepted and adopted.

1                   In addition, the Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Relief (#5,  
2 filed May 24, 2011). Plaintiff asks for relief related to proceedings in a criminal case before the  
3 Nevada state court. The criminal case is a different case than the civil case before the Honorable  
4 Arthur Ritchie, Nevada District Judge, that is the subject of this lawsuit. Plaintiff's motion fails  
5 for the same reasons described by Magistrate Judge Foley in his Findings and Recommendations:  
6 this Court lacks jurisdiction to review state court rulings. Therefore, the Court denies Plaintiff's  
7 motion.

8                   **CONCLUSION**


9                   Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,

10                  IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Foley's Findings and  
11 Recommendation entered April 18, 2011 (#3) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED.

12                  IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Steven Javier Bank's Motion for  
13 Expedited Relief (#5) is DENIED.

14                  IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint (#4) DISMISSED with  
15 prejudice. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

16                  Dated: May 26, 2011.

17                    
18                  

---

**ROGER L. HUNT**  
19                  United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26